

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/771,582	PATTERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Jeffrey Thurnau. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed further amending amended claim 1 to positively require performing the venting step during curing operation. Mr. Thurnau agreed.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)